

Westwood Planning Board Minutes
Tuesday, August 18, 2020
7:00 pm
Via Zoom Remote Participation
Westwood, MA 02090

Pursuant to Governor Baker's March 12, 2020 Order suspending Certain Provisions of the Open Meeting Law MGL C. 30A, §18 and the Governor's March 23, 2020 Emergency Order imposing strict limitations on the number of people that may gather in one place, the August 18, 2020 Planning Board meeting was conducted via remote participation by the Board.

Call to Order:

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Atkins at approximately 7:01 pm. The remote meeting was video recorded by Westwood Media Center and was available on Comcast channel 12, Verizon channel 42 and on Westwood Media's YouTube channel. Chairman Atkins explained the meeting procedures, summarized the agenda, and the process for remote participation.

Present via Remote Participation:

Planning Board members present via roll call: David L. Atkins, Jr., Deborah J. Conant, Christopher A. Pfaff, William F. Delay and Robert R. Gotti. Staff members present: Abigail McCabe Town Planner, Pat Ahearn Town Counsel and Jessica Cole, who recorded the meeting minutes.

247 Station Drive, Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless - (WCOD-EIDR) Public Hearing continued from 5/26/20 & 6/30/20. Applicant proposes to install 9 panel antennas, 6 radio heads, 2 junction boxes and associated equipment on the roof of the existing building. The Board requested screening.

Chair Atkins noted that the applicant submitted a request to withdraw. Ms. McCabe added that the Applicant submitted the request to withdraw the request without prejudice to the Board which was provided in the Board's packet.

Action Taken:

Upon a motion made by Mr. Pfaff and seconded by Mr. Delay, the Planning Board voted in favor via roll call 4-0-1 (Gotti abstained) to accept the applicant's request to withdraw without prejudice for the wireless facility at 247 Station Drive.

80 Wilson Way, Fairlane Properties Westwood, LLC EIDR - Minor Modification request to modify condition #2 of 2019 EIDR approval to extend infiltration completion date.

Applicant and property owner Michael Grill was present. Mr. Grill explained that condition #2 of June 12th, 2019 decision by the Planning Board included a roof filtration system 30 days after a tenant takes occupancy. The tenant is Beth Israel Deaconess approved last fall. Working with contractors, and there have been several modifications due to soil conditions and the Board approved the drainage modification for capturing parking lot runoff in November 1029. The applicant is requesting an extension for completion of the stormwater improvements to be moved to December 31, 2020 to allow more flexibility on the completion date. Beth Israel is now looking to occupy by the end of September, which gives me until end of October to complete drainage work. Mr. Grill requests until the end of the year due to any unforeseen delays during construction.

Board Comments:

- How long requesting for the extension? *Until December 31st.*
- If we extend it until December, you say there are site conditions prohibiting you. *Over the course of the last year, there have been various site conditions that have changed the site plan from a roof system to a parking lot system. The Applicant has been working with BETA, and is just being cautious.*
- Why December 31st? *Just to get a lead way. There has been no delay. It is not something we can do to make it move faster.*
- Does a filtration still exist? *Connor Nagle of VHB the project engineer explained the existing property does not have a stormwater system and the area does not have treatment since 1974. This project is underway now with the underground recharge of the parking lot runoff.*
- Is it still operative until Dec 31st? *Yes. Conditions are more constraints. Timeline right now is so tight, we are just looking for an extension, being proactive. The supplies have been ordered.*
- What are going to be the conditions to make this happen on time? *Have been working closely with Ms. McCabe and everything has been done in a timely fashion.*

The Chair asked for any public comments and explained process of raising hand or pressing *9.

Public Comments: None

Additional Board Comments:

- What would be more beneficial, for us to do a 60 day extension vs. a 120 day extension, and revisit it in 60 days just to stay on top of it to make sure progress is being made, and all the conditions will be met. *The idea behind the December 31st date based on our performance to date, and that we would not need to check in, based on past performance.*
- Beth Israel will be done in September with the project. *Water will run into catch basins whether the tenant is there or not.*
- Applicant has not shown us any doubt; it is a reasonable request if Ms. McCabe can keep on top of it.
- No incentive for them not to get everything done. *Waiting for the Jelly Fish Filter units to come in.*

Action Taken:

Upon a motion made by Mr. Pfaff and seconded by Ms. Conant, the Planning Board voted in favor via roll call 5-0 to determine that the Applicant's request is a minor modification.

Upon a motion made by Mr. Pfaff and seconded by Mr. Gotti, the Planning Board voted in favor via roll call 5-0 to modify condition #2 of the June EIDR approval to extend the completion date for the infiltration system until December 31, 2020.

13 Stonemeadow Drive - Earth Material Movement EIDR - Public Hearing. Applicant purposes importing more than 200 cubic yards of earth material to construct a new single family home.

Applicant: Jeff Murphy of Beals & Thomas the project engineer was present. Mr. Murphy explained that the proposal includes a net cut of 1350 cubic yards, will use excess material for the adjacent lot at #11 Stonemeadow owned by the same owner and approve by the Board earlier in the year. The 470 cubic yard will be used for driveway, foundation, sport court and patios.

Board Comments: None

The Chair asked for any public comments and explained process of raising hand or pressing *9.

Public Comments: None

Ms. McCabe summarized the 4 requested waivers from application submission requirements which were for the exterior lighting plan, the traffic study, the presentation model and the stormwater report.

Action Taken:

Upon a motion made by Mr. Gotti and seconded by Mr. Pfaff, the Planning Board voted in favor via roll call 5-0 to approve the 4 waivers because they are not necessary for this application and application proposes subsurface infiltration stormwater system.

Ms. McCabe went over the recommended 8 standard conditions which were:

1. To protect the pavement on Stonemeadow Drive;
2. Regularly replace the crushed stone construction entrance to keep the roads clean;
3. Comply with the Town's construction noise bylaw which is 7:00 am – 7:00 pm and 12 pm – 7 pm on Sundays;
4. Trucks carrying the earth material shall access the property from the east from Summer Street via Longmeadow only and not travel down the emergency connector to Far Reach Road;
5. Applicant is responsible for contacting the water district;
6. If any other permits are required, applicant shall be responsible for completing.
7. Applicant shall monitor the roadway for any damage and is responsible for any repair due to damage after seeking approval from public works;
8. A copy of this decision kept on site.

Board Comments:

- Is there a certification for clean fill? Is the fill from next door? *Applicant responded that this site is a net cut; most of the material is not imported but comes from the adjacent lot next to it, except the gravel, a specialty import. It is being shifted from one site to another.*

Action Taken:

Upon a motion made by Mr. Gotti and seconded by Mr. Pfaff, the Planning Board voted in favor via roll call 5-0 to approve the earth material movement EIDR application from Old Grove Partners for 13 Stonemeadow Drive with the suggested conditions read by Ms. McCabe.

Upon a motion made by Mr. Pfaff and seconded by Mr. Gotti, the Planning Board voted in favor via roll call 5-0 to close the Public Hearing.

321 Gay Street - Earth Material Movement EIDR - Public Hearing. Applicant proposes to remove 785 cubic yards of earth material to construct a new garage/barn and swimming pool.

Applicant:

Kristine Meaney on behalf of the owner gave some background on the property. The applicant will install a new driveway, access driveway to the proposed barn and pool. Conservation Commission, approval, and have a valid order of conditions. 780 yards of fill needs to be removed from the site.

Staff & Board Comments:

- Is a zoning board of appeals special permit needed for the barn? *Need to review details with Joe Doyle the building commissioner.*
- The owner was initially unaware of the earth material movement bylaw.
- Looking for a permit to remove fill that was not permitted correctly initially.

Public Comments:

- C. Forrester asked about conservation review. We have some concern that so much earth material has been brought into this site, and now a large amount is being removed. This site is surrounded by Wetlands with a very high water table. Is this a suitable site for these actions? What is the use of the barn, residential for extra space or for business?
- Does the barn really need to be that big? This is an area which mink are regularly seen Crossing Gay Street.

Applicant response: This project has received approval by the Conservation Commission. The Lot is 2 acres, and the barn will be used for recreational equipment, pool equipment and patio furniture.

Ms. McCabe went over the 4 requested waivers for this application exterior lighting plan, traffic study, presentation model, and drainage report.

Action Taken:

Upon a motion made by Mr. Gotti, and seconded by Mr. Pfaff, the Planning Board voted in favor via roll call 5-0 to grant the 4 waivers that are not necessary for this application.

Ms. McCabe summarized the 7 standard conditions.

Ms. McCabe summarized the 7 recommended standard conditions of approval and summarized them:

1. Protection of pavement on Gay Street;
2. The crushed stone construction entrance shall be cleaned to prevent dirt tracking on the roads;
3. Compliance with the Town's construction noise bylaw which is 7:00 am – 7:00 pm and 12 pm – 7 pm on Sundays;
4. Trucks carrying the earth material shall travel to Gay Street to and from via Route 109 to the interstate to avoid the neighborhood roads;
5. If any other permits are required, applicant shall be responsible for completing.
6. Applicant shall monitor the roadway for any damage and is responsible for any repair due to damage after seeking approval from public works;
A copy of this decision kept on site.

Action Taken:

Upon a motion made by Mr. Pfaff and seconded by Mr. Delay, the Planning Board voted 5-0 in favor via roll vote to approve the earth material movement application for 321 Gay Street with the 7 standard conditions.

Upon a motion made by Mr. Pfaff and seconded by Mr. Gotti, the Planning Board voted in favor via roll call 5-0 to close the public hearing.

Board to review and discuss possible zoning amendment articles for consideration at an upcoming Town Meeting (special in November or Annual in May 2021).

Ms. McCabe summarized to the Planning Board their timeline for fall town meeting and spring Town Meeting in May. The Select Board will decide in September if there will be a Fall Town Meeting.

Fire Arms/Explosives Sales and Services Article – the Board agreed to bring this to fall Town Meeting.

Prohibitive uses language- Fin Com's recommendation is that it was redundant, and the Planning Board agreed to put this article off. A board member didn't think was necessary. Another member thought this could be reviewed further.

Medical Uses – Spring Annual Town Meeting, review process and timeline

Board Comments:

The Board took a poll Mr. Pfaff and Mr. Gotti feel that the town is exposed and wants to pursue this article.

Ms. Conant wants to see some data, why do we need to do this? What are other towns doing? If you designate an area, then you are inviting, if you don't designate an area is there someone that will come in?

Mr. Delay is not convinced that we need this article.

Need real legal input? How do we get that information? We need firm advice, and we want the public behind us and we need to back it up.

Zoning is to anticipate and prepare. The whole issue that is the concern is the Substance Abuse Facility.

We don't have proper definitions.

We need public participation.

In 2017 we didn't do it right, we need facts to do it right.

What is our next move? A working group. Dedham, Needham, Norwood, What are they doing with medical uses?

Not sure a working group is part of the solution. Ms. Conant and Mr. Delay are looking for legal advice.

Wants the public residents to be involved.

Can we poll the residents? A poll that cannot be taken multiple times.

We need to do the job of the people, we represent them.

Mr. Ahearn Town Counsel suggested Dan Bailey is a land use and zoning attorney, he could reach out to him to get his opinion.

Mr. Atkins asked the Board if this is a good starting point?

Mr. Ahearn will get permission to bring on Dan Bailey and it will come out of the Town's Legal budget.

Board will get questions to Mr. Ahearn via Ms. McCabe.

Mr. Atkins stated that the discussion of the Planning Board articles was not a public hearing.

Deadline for questions for Mr. Ahearn by Monday August 24th submits them to Ms. McCabe and she will forward them to Mr. Ahearn.

Short term rentals-

Need to work with administration on this, maybe a general bylaw, the Planning Board agreed that it will not be for the Fall Town Meeting.

Others? Ms. McCabe will work on an article for short term temporary uses.

Other Business:

Two Open Meeting Law Violations, Received by Nada Milosavljevic-Fabrizio on July 28, 2020.

Chair Atkins explained that the Board received two Open Meeting Law Violations submitted by Nada Milosavljevic-Fabrizio on July 28, 2020. He explained that what he would like to do is have Town Counsel Attorney Ahearn to walk the Board through the process, he will give an opportunity for Board members to respond, the board will discuss actions, and a response. He also noted that Ms. Milosavljevic-Fabrizio is here in attendance and he will give her an opportunity to make a statement if she would like to do so after the board discusses.

Mr. Ahearn walked the Planning Board through the process and actions and how the Planning Board needs to respond. Mr. Ahearn addressed the 2nd complaint first.

2nd Complaint:

Mr. Ahearn explained that this complaint includes all Board members: Mr. Atkins, Mr. Pfaff, Ms. Conant, Mr. Delay and Mr. Gotti and in theory Ms. McCabe. Mr. Ahearn explained that the complaint asserts that the Planning Board and Ms. McCabe failed to give proper notification for entertaining a discussion related to specific proposed zoning amendments at the June 30th meeting. Mr. Ahearn said he has reviewed the complaint, he re-watched the video and he ordered a transcript of the June 30th meeting. Mr. Ahearn first asked if and how the Board would like to respond to the complaint.

Mr. Ahearn said that in his opinion that the Board did not have a substantive discussion on zoning articles at that meeting relative to the agenda item that was listed as summer work plan. He believes the discussion was focused on timing specifically about fall and spring Town Meeting. He summarized the board was more focused on the timing and process and a list of articles to be brought forward to a future meeting agenda. Does the Planning Board wish to respond? Mr. Ahearn added that the complainant wants the Planning Board members to have additional training. Mr. Ahearn said there is training scheduled for Wednesday September 23rd at 6:00 pm that was listed on the Attorney General's website. Mr. Ahearn's recommendation is to agree to additional training, but he does not believe that the Board violated the notification requirements on the June 30th agenda.

Board Comments:

- Mr. Atkins agreed that he does not feel that there was any violation and nothing was intentional but noted that the agenda can always be more specific. He felt the discussion at that meeting was not specific on the articles.
- Ms. Conant said that 'summer work plan' on the agenda was not clear enough and was too general for the public to understand and agrees with attending training. She suggested being more inclusive with information on agendas.
- Mr. Pfaff does not believe there was any substantive article discussion and that they didn't talk about the specific articles because the discussion focused on timing. He also agreed to training.
- Mr. Gotti agreed with Mr. Ahearn's assessment that the Board was talking about the plan of work and was onboard with taking additional training.
- Mr. Delay said he didn't want to feel like they were trying to pull anything over on anyone and agreed to take a training.
- Ms. Conant agreed with taking the class.
- All five board members agreed to attend open meeting law training.

Action Taken:

Upon a motion made by Mr. Pfaff and seconded by Mr. Gotti, the Planning Board voted 4-0-1 in favor via roll vote (Ms. Conant abstained) to authorize Town Counsel Ahearn to prepare a letter of response to the 2nd complaint for the Open Meeting Law violation just discussed by the Planning Board.

Mr. Ahearn will respond to the Attorney General as discussed tonight by the Board that there was no substantive discussion of the zoning and agreeing with the recommendation to take a class. Mr. Ahearn will circulate the letter via email for the Board members to review.

1st Complaint: Mr. Ahearn this is the complaint against three Planning Board members: Mr. Atkins, Mr. Pfaff and Mr. Gotti relative to the vote for the chair position. Mr. Ahearn reviewed the tape and transcript and the basic complaint asserts that three board members violated the Open Meeting Law by meeting as a quorum outside of a public meeting. Mr. Ahearn asked the three Planning Board members to reply to the complaint. He said that one of the resolutions is to re-vote and another resolution is to take training.

Board Comments:

- Mr. Atkins stated that he did not meet with the others; he said that he was interested in serving as Chair and he asked Mr. Pfaff to nominate him. He did not email, call or talk to Mr. Gotti before the meeting.
- Mr. Pfaff stated that he spoke to Mr. Atkins, and they both expressed an interest in being Chair but he eventually declined and he agreed to nominate Mr. Atkins.
- Mr. Gotti stated that he did not speak to either Mr. Atkins or Mr. Pfaff about the vote prior to the meeting.

Mr. Ahearn explained that the complaint says that there was a motion and then a vote within seconds of the motion. Mr. Ahearn said that he reviewed the meeting video and the transcript and he does not believe that is an accurate description of what occurred. He said there was a motion by Mr. Delay then Ms. Conant seconded the motion and Ms. Conant moved it to a vote without discussion before the vote. He explained then there was a the roll call vote of all members. The vote did not pass and there were some comments made. Then there was another motion to nominate Mr. Atkins as Chair, a second, and another roll call vote prior to moving onto the motion and vote for the Secretary position.

Mr. Ahearn said there was some board discussion about the Vice Chair becoming Chair. He said that there is nothing in the Charter or Bylaws that requires a Vice Chair to become Chair. Mr. Ahearn added that Ms. McCabe prepared for the board in the packet the list of officers over the last twenty years and it was 10 times that the Vice Chair then became Chair.

Board Comments:

- Ms. Conant asked who authorized Ms. McCabe to make the chart of officers. *Ms. McCabe said she did it on her own in response to comments made at the previous meetings.*
- Ms. Conant asked who authorized the transcript? *Mr. Ahearn responded that he sought a transcript.*
- There has never been any discussion on any vote in the past.
- Ms. Conant recommends not including the officer chart prepared by Ms. McCabe in the response to the Attorney General because it shows discrimination.
- Mr. Ahearn said the complaint points out that Mr. Atkins had prepared a list to share with the board and asked Mr. Atkins to respond to the prepared document. *Mr. Atkins responded that he thought it would be helpful to share what he knew about the committees having gone through the process 4-5 times.*
- Mr. Ahearn explained that the request is to nullify the vote. He noted that he's not sure if the Attorney General can do that but asked the Board if they were interested in re-voting the chair or board reorganization position.
- Ms. Conant responded that she does not want a revote.
- Mr. Atkins said he would be willing to have a revote.
- Mr. Delay wanted to know about reorganization and if the vote would be tonight? He would be willing to take a revote.
- Mr. Pfaff would be willing to take a revote but would defer to Ms. Conant.
- Mr. Gotti would be willing to do the re-vote if Ms. Conant would be willing to do it.
- Mr. Delay changed his mind and decided not to do the re-vote.
- After more discussion, the Planning Board voted 5-0 via roll call not to do a re-vote.

Action Taken:

Upon a motion made by Mr. Pfaff and seconded by Mr. Gotti, the Planning Board voted 5-0 in favor via roll call to authorize Mr. Ahearn to write a response letter for the Planning Board indicating that Mr. Atkins, Mr. Pfaff and Mr. Gotti responded with denying assembling a quorum outside the planning board meeting, and the Planning Board voted not to revoke the board organization, and the Planning Board members voted to attend additional training about Open Meeting Laws.

Upon a motion made by Ms. Conant and seconded by Mr. Delay, the Planning Board voted 5-0 in favor via roll call to add the transcript to the minutes already approved for the June 30, 2020 meeting.

Informational: 750 Everett Street, Norwood Amazon Warehouse Distribution facility

Ms. McCabe explained that she has been in touch with the new property owner and Westwood residents in the area. Town staff has a meeting set up to discuss concerns largely focused on traffic and noise on Everett and Forbes Street. The Planning Board and Select Board submitted an appeal and it is in litigation now.

Review of Meeting Minutes:

July 21, 2020 minutes:

- Ms. Conant: Page 6, "Do you send the minutes to be reviewed and edited?" Ms. Conant requested to add "*Prior to us getting them as draft for approval*" before "*Do you send the minutes to be reviewed and edited?*" Add they manipulated the conversation by altering the sequence of the transcript for the discussion and that was the whole dialogue of the conversation, which is why the transcript was asked for. And if you don't add that people don't understand why the transcript was requested.

Upon a motion made by Ms. Conant and seconded by Mr. Delay, the Planning Board voted in favor via roll call 5-0 to amend the minutes to reflect the above additions from Ms. Conant.

Upon a motion made by Mr. Gotti and seconded by Ms. Conant, the Planning Board voted in favor via roll call 5-0 to approve the minutes as amended in the last vote.

January 28, 2020 Minutes:

Ms. Conant said she noticed the Transcript is correct because some of the names of the speakers are wrong. Mr. Ahearn recommended proposed changes and review at the next meeting.

Adjournment:

Upon a motion made by Mr. Gotti and seconded by Mr. Pfaff, The Planning Board members voted in favor via roll call (5-0) to adjourn the meeting at approximately 9:45 pm.

Link to the Documents:

http://westwoodtownma.ig2.com/Citizens/Detail_Meeting.aspx?ID=1551

Link to the Planning Board web page

<https://www.townhall.westwood.ma.us/departments/community-economic-development/planning-division>

List of Documents

<p>247 Station Drive-WCOD-EIDR Application Public Hearing Notice, From: Westwood Planning Board, 4/29/2020, 1 page. Narrative and Description, To: Westwood Planning Board, From: Sean Mahoney, SAI Communications, LLC, 4 pages. Photo Simulations, From: Verizon, 3/23/2020, 22 pages. Radiation Frequency Exposure Report, From: C Squared Systems, LLC, 4/7/2020, 13 pages. Radio Frequency Report, From: C Squared Systems, LLC, 3/13/2020, 19 pages. Structural Report, From: Chappell Engineering Associates, LLC, 3/11/2020, 37 pages. Plan Set, From: Chappell Engineering Associates, LLC, 11/4/2019, 7 pages. Waiver Request, To: Westwood Planning Board, From: Sean Mahoney, SAI Communications, LLC, 2 pages. Presentation, From: Chappell Engineering Associates, LLC, 12 pages. Staff Comments, 5/21/2020, 2 pages. Applicant Request to Continue to August 18, From: Westwood Planning Board, 6/5/2020, 1 page. Applicant Request to Withdraw Without Prejudice, Westwood Planning Board, 8/11/2020, 1 page.</p>	<p>PDF</p>
<p>80 Wilson Way Minor Modification Fairlane Letter for PB Deadline Extension, From: Fairlane Westwood, LLC, To: Abigail McCabe, 2 pages. Revised Schedule Drainage Retrofit, 7/22/2020, 1 page. Timeline Memo, From: VHB, To: Westwood Planning Board, 7/29/2020, 2 pages. Approved Drainage Retrofit Plan, From: VHB, 3/5/2020, 4 pages. Decision Wilson-Way-80-EIDR-2019-06, From: Westwood Planning Board, 6/12/2019, 4 pages. Decision-Wilson-Way-80-EIDR-Modification-2019-11-19, From: Westwood Planning Board, 11/19/2019, 3 pages.</p>	<p>PDF</p>
<p>13 Stonemeadow Drive Application, Public Hearing Notice, Westwood Planning Board, 6/27/2020, 1 page. Site Plan, From: Beals & Thomas, 7/16/2020, 1 page. Project Description, From: Beals & Thomas, To: Westwood Planning Board, 7/16/2020, 2 pages. New Home Plans, From: McKay Architects, 7/16/2020, 17 pages. Stormwater drainage, From: Beals & Thomas, 7/16/2020, 1 page. Existing conditions photo, 1 page. Lighting waiver, From: Old Grove Partners, LLC, To: Westwood Planning Board, 1 page. Model waiver, From: Old Grove Partners, LLC, To: Westwood Planning Board, 1 page. Traffic waiver, From: Old Grove Partners, LLC, To: Westwood Planning Board, 1 page. BETA Review Comments, From: BETA, To: Todd Korchin & Abigail McCabe, 8/11/2020, 4 pages. Earth Work Calculations, From: Beals & Thomas, 7/14/2020, 5 pages. Staff Review Comments, 8/14/2020, 3 pages. Applicant Response to Comments, From: Beals & Thomas, To: Westwood Planning Board, 8/17/2020, 4 pages.</p>	<p>PDF</p>
<p>321 Gay Street Application, Hearing Notice, Westwood Planning Board, 7/27/2020, 1 page. Project Description, From: Site Design Professionals, LLC, To: Westwood Planning Board, 7/2/2020, 1 page. Site Plan, From: Site Design Professionals, LLC, 6/25/2020, 1 page. Rendering-Garage, 1 page. Existing conditions-photo, 1 page. Waivers-Lighting, Traffic, Model & Stormwater, From: Site Design Professionals, LLC, To: Westwood Planning Board, 7/10/2020, 1 page. BETA Review Comments, From: BETA, To: Todd Korchin & Abigail McCabe, 8/10/2020, 3 pages.</p>	<p>PDF</p>

Revised Site Plan Changes Highlighted, Site Design Professionals, LLC, 8/12/2020, 1 page. Staff Comments, 8/14/2020, 3 pages.	
Zoning Amendment & Town Meeting Article Discussion 2020 Annual Town Meeting Warrant Book, 117 pages, Zoning Bylaw, 222 pages. Official Zoning Map, 1 page. Adopting & Amending Zoning Bylaws, Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Department of Housing & Community Development, Spring 2005, 8 pages. Zoning Amendment Flow Chart, 1 page.	PDF
Open Meeting Law Violations 07.28.2020 OML Complaint re 06.30.2020 Planning Board public meeting, From: Massachusetts Attorney General, 6/30/2020, 6 pages. 07.28.2020 OML complaint re 06302020 off agenda discussions, From: Massachusetts Attorney General, 6/30/2020, 3 pages. 06-30-2020-PB Minutes, 6/30/2020, 5 pages. Planning Board Officer History 2000-2020, 1 page.	PDF
750 Everett St Norwood Amazon Facility Westwood v. Norwood Appeal, From: MTC Attorneys at Law, To: Town Clerk, 8/5/2020, 52 pages. Norwood Site Plan Review Decision and civil site plan set	PDF
Meeting Procedures for Remote Meetings, PB Agenda 2020-08-18, Public Participation Guide, Memorandum from Town Planner Abby McCabe to Planning Board Members, dated August 14, 2020, re: Meeting Summary for August 18 Meeting	PDF